UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,049	12/30/2003	David B. Olson	58907US002	6791
34074	v 1590 01/19/2007 VE PROPERTIES COM	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 33427		BERNSHTEYN, MICHAEL		
ST. PAUL, MN	55133-3427	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1713		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	01/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

47		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/74	8,049	OLSON ET AL.	OLSON ET AL.			
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
			el Bernshteyn	1713				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on	the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRANCE IN	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n unication. atutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN o event, however, may a nd will expire SIX (6) MC application to become A	ICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of the companion of t				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 November 2006.							
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 8,14-18 and 20-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7, 9-13 and 19</u> is/are rejected.							
7)								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election	on requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers			,				
9) 又	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
,	The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 September</u>		☑ accepted or b)	objected to by the Exa	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is re	quired if the drawin	g(s) is objected to See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.								
Attachmen			. 🗀					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948\		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Ap								
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/748,049 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action follows a response filed on November 20, 2006. Claims
 and 19 have been amended.

- 2. In view of the amendment, the rejection of the claims 1-7, 9-13 and 19 has been withdrawn. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 3. The Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed on November 20, 2006 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-7, 9-13 and 19 based upon 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as set forth in the last Office action because: the declaration fails to set forth the evidence of unexpected results. It is worth to mention, "Although an affidavit or declaration which states only conclusions may have some probative value, such an affidavit or declaration may have little weight when considered in light of all the evidence of record in the application. *In re Brandstadter*, 484 F.2d 1395,179 USPQ 286 (CCPA 1973).
- 4. The claims 1-7, 9-13 and 19 are active.

Specification

5. The amendment filed on November 20, 2006 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material, which is not supported by the original disclosure, is as follows: there is no limitation in the specification

Art Unit: 1713

including the examples (page 13, line 10 through page 16, line 3) indicating that the polymerizable composition is solvent-free.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 1 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no any indication in the specification and in the claims that the polymerizable composition is solvent-free, which consequently raise doubt as to possession of the claimed invention at the time of filing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The text of this section of Title 35 U.S.C. not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.
- 8. Claims 1-7, 9-13 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olson et al. (U.S. Patent 6,261,700) in view of Williams et al. (U.S. Patent 5,626,800) and further in view of Martens (U.S. Patent 4,576,850).

Art Unit: 1713

Olson discloses coatings; composite structures containing coatings, and compositions for preparing and methods of preparing coatings and composite structures, wherein the compositions comprise inorganic oxide particles and polymerizable brominated compounds, and coatings comprise inorganic oxide particles and a brominated polymer (abstract).

With regard to the limitations of instant claim 1, Olson discloses the compositions, which contain ingredients including inorganic oxide particles and a curable binder precursor, wherein the binder precursor includes a polymerizable brominated compound. Particularly preferred polymerizable brominated compounds comprise polymerizable aromatic, brominated (meth)acrylate compounds having an aromatic portion, a brominated portion (which may or may not be the aromatic portion), and a (meth)acrylate moiety (col. 4, lines 53-63).

Olson discloses that the most preferable first monomer comprising a major portion of 2-propeonic acid, (1-methylethylidene)bis[(2,6,dibromo-4,1-phenylene)oxy(2-hydroxy-3,1-propanediyl)] ester as the reaction product of tetrabromobisphenol A diglycidyl ether and (meth) acrylic acid which is known under the trade designation 'RDX-51027" and used in the table 1, examples 1 and 3 (col.26, lines 18-55). This component is readable as component a) in the instant claim 1. Other examples of polymerizable brominated compounds that can be useful in the binder precursor include but are not limited to tribromophenyl (meth)acrylate, pentabromophenyl (meth)acrylate, tribromophenylethyl (meth)acrylate, bromomethyl styrene, and brominated

Art Unit: 1713

bisphenol A (meth)acrylate compounds (col. 8, lines 28-33). Tribromophenyl (meth)acrylate is readable as component b) in the instant claim 1.

A multifunctional non-brominated compound can be any multifunctional non-brominated compound that can react with the other components of the binder precursor to produce a polymer. Preferred multifunctional non-brominated compounds comprise ester (meth)acrylate compounds such as difunctional (meth)acrylate esters of a polyhydric alcohol, and combinations thereof. Of these, trifunctional and tetrafunctional esters of (meth)acrylate esters of polyhydric alcohol can be especially preferred. Examples of suitable multifunctional ester (meth)acrylates include poly(meth)acrylic acid esters of polyhydric alcohols including, for example, tri(meth)acrylic acid esters of pentaerythritol, etc.

Particularly preferred multifunctional ester (meth)acrylic acids can comprise a mixture of di-, tri-, and tetra(meth)acrylate esters of pentaerythritol (col. 12, line 39 through col. 13, line 13). Pentaerythritol tri(meth)acrylate is readable as component c) in the instant claim 1.

Olson discloses that the binder precursor can optionally include one or more polymerizable non-brominated compound (e.g., a monomer, dimer, oligomer, pre-polymer, or polymer), which can react with other components of the binder precursor to provide a brominated polymeric matrix. Such non-brominated compounds can include low molecular weight reactive **diluents** which can modify flow properties of the composition, and **multi-functional crosslinking agents** to crosslink polymers upon reaction and provide a highly crosslinked matrix (col. 10, line 67 through col. 11, line 13). Examples of suitable monofunctional non-

Art Unit: 1713

brominated polymerizable compounds include 2-hydroxyethyl (meth)acrylate, 2-methylbutyl (meth)acrylate, (meth)acrylic acid, itaconic acid, **2-phenoxyethyl** (meth)acrylate, etc. (col. 11, lines 35-45), thus naming the species of the instant claims, including those elected by Applicant. **2-phenoxy)ethyl (meth)acrylate** is readable as component d) in the instant claim 1.

Olson discloses examples of photoinitiators that generate a free radical source when exposed to ultraviolet light include, but are not limited to, organic peroxides, azo compounds, quinones, etc. (col. 17, lines 23-30). A photoinitiator is readable as component e) in the instant claim 1.

Olson does not disclose that a brightness enhancing film comprising an optical layer having a linear array of regular right prisms and that the polymerizable composition is solvent-free.

Williams discloses a method of producing a microstructure bearing article that includes the steps of molding the microstructure on the base, curing the resin that forms the microstructure, and heat treating the microstructure (abstract).

With regard to the limitations of instant claim 1, Williams discloses that the brightness enhancement film 11 includes an array of prisms typified by prisms 22, 24, 26, and 28, as illustrated in FIG. 2. Each prism, for example, such as prism 22, has a first facet 30 and a second facet 32. The prisms 22, 24, 26, and 28 are formed on a body portion 34 that has a first surface 36 on which the prisms are formed and a second surface 38 that is substantially flat or planar and

Art Unit: 1713

opposite the first surface. A linear array of regular right prisms is preferred for both optical performance and ease of manufacture (col. 2, lines 43-52).

Martens discloses an article comprising a shaped, plastic layer or body comprising crosslinked polymer with hard and soft segments or moieties and having a microstructure-bearing surface is prepared by a process comprising filling a mold master, bearing or encoded with the microstructure to be replicated, with a fluid, castable, one-part, preferably **solvent-free**, radiation addition-polymerizable, crosslinkable, synthetic, organic oligomeric composition (abstract).

All three references are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor concerning new polymerizable compositions for optical articles.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate optical layer having a linear array of regular right prisms as taught by Williams in Olson's polymerizable composition for optical articles and to obtain the polymerizable composition as solvent-free as taught by Martens because of the following: 1) a linear array of regular right prisms is preferred for both optical performance and ease of manufacture (US'800, col. 2, lines 50-52), 2) use of solvents require a long time to evaporate, long cure cycles, curable materials which have a limited "pot" life, or result in replicated articles having limited toughness and dimensional stability and with severe shrinkage (US'850, col. 2, lines 4-8), and thus to arrive at the subject matter of instant claim 1 and dependable claims 2-7 and 9-13.

Art Unit: 1713

With regard to the limitations of instant claims 2-4, Olson discloses that while amounts outside of the following ranges may be useful, preferred binder precursors can include from **about 20 to about 80 parts by weight** (pbw) polymerizable **brominated** compound, e.g., aromatic, brominated (meth)acrylate compound, which is within the claimed range (col. 13, lines 19-22).

With regard to the limitations of instant claims 5-6, Olson discloses that the binder precursor can also contain polymerizable **non-brominated** compound in useful amounts, e.g., from **about 20 to 80 pbw**, preferably about 50 to 70 pbw, based on 100 pbw binder precursor, which is within the claimed range (col. 13, lines 31-33).

It is noted that the amount of the weight ratio of the components A and B is a result effective variable, and therefore, it is within the skill of those skilled in the art to find the optimum value of a result effective variable, as per *In re Boesch and Slaney* 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). See also *Peterson*, 315 F.3d at 1330, 65 USPQ2d at 1382: "The normal desire of scientists or artisans to improve upon what is already generally known provides the motivation to determine where in a disclosed set of percentage ranges is the optimum combination of percentages."

With regard to the limitations of instant claims 7 and 9, Olson discloses that particularly preferred multifunctional ester (meth)acrylic acids can comprise a mixture of di-, tri-, and tetra(meth)acrylate esters of **pentaerythritol** (col. 12, line 39 through col. 13, line 13). The amount of **pentaerythritol triacrylate** is within the claimed range (see Component A (col. 24, lines 65-67), Component B (col.

Art Unit: 1713

25, lines 18-20) and Component C (col. 25, lines 48-50)). Pentaerythritol triacrylate is a liquid at ambient (room) temperature with low volatility, fast curing monomer for use in free radical polymerization (see www.sartomereurope.com).

With regard to the limitations of instant claims 10-13, Olson discloses that **2-(phenoxy)ethyl (meth)acrylate** as monofunctional (meth) acrylate diluent is a liquid at ambient (room) temperature with low volatility and $T_g = 54^{\circ}C$ (col. 11, line 42).

With regard to the limitations of instant claim 19, Olson discloses that while amounts outside of the following ranges may be useful, preferred binder precursors can include from **about 20 to about 80 parts by weight** (pbw) polymerizable **brominated** compound, e.g., aromatic, brominated (meth)acrylate compound, which is within the claimed range (col. 13, lines 19-22).

Olson does not disclose that the polymerizable composition is solventfree.

As it was mentioned above, Martens discloses an article comprising a shaped, plastic layer or body comprising crosslinked polymer with hard and soft segments or moieties and having a microstructure-bearing surface is prepared by a process comprising filling a mold master, bearing or encoded with the microstructure to be replicated, with a fluid, castable, one-part, preferably solvent-free, radiation addition-polymerizable, crosslinkable, synthetic, organic oligomeric composition (abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to obtain Olson and Williams's

Art Unit: 1713

polymerizable composition for optical articles as **solvent-free** as taught by Martens because use of solvents require a long time to evaporate, long cure cycles, curable materials which have a limited "pot" life, or result in replicated articles having limited toughness and dimensional stability and with severe shrinkage (US'850, col. 2, lines 4-8), and thus to arrive at the subject matter of instant claim 19.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Bernshteyn whose telephone number is 571-272-2411. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5:30.

Art Unit: 1713

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael Bernshteyn Patent Examiner Art Unit 1713

MB 01/12/2007

> DAVID W. WU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700